# Parameter Efficient Finetuning

CSE 5525: Foundations of Speech and Natural Language

Processing
https://shocheen.github.io/courses/cse-5525-spring-2025



## **The Ohio State University**

Slide Credits: Pavlos Protopapas

## Outline

- Training Cycle LLM
- Instruction-tuning
  - Full Parameter
  - PEFT
- LoRA
- QLoRA

## Outline

- Training Cycle LLM
- Instruction-tuning
  - Full Parameter
  - PEFT
- LoRA
- QLoRA

The training cycle for a LLM consists of 3 main stages:





## **Objective:** The goal of pre-training is to teach the model general language understanding.

**Process:** The model is trained on a massive dataset of text from the internet and other sources.

#### **Outcome:**

A base model that has a general understanding of the language.

This is what we've learned when we talked about how GPT works



#### **Objective:**

The goal is to make the model useful for specific tasks and improving its ability to follow instructions.

**Process:** Fine-tuning the model on datasets that contain instructions and the desired outputs.

This also includes RLHF.

#### **Outcome:**

A model that becomes better at interpreting and following user instructions.



#### **Objective:**

The goal is to make sure that the model outputs are aligned to human preferences and are safe etc.

#### **Process:**

Involves further fine-tuning. We use RLHF to provide feedback on model outputs.

#### Outcome:

The model becomes more aligned with human intent and safer, reducing risk of biased content.

> It's after this step that we get models like ChatGPT, Claude etc

So, fine-tuning takes place in 2 stages.



In this lecture, we will be focusing on the Instruct stage of fine-tuning.



Before we go deeper into fine-tuning there is another way of adapting LLMs for specific task, which is called "In-context" learning.

#### In-context Learning

- A method of prompt engineering where the model is shown task demonstrations as part of the prompt.
- No change in model

#### parameters.

Fine-tuning is a supervised process that leads to a new model, in contrast with in-context learning, which is considered "ephemeral."

#### Fine-tuning

- A process of training the LLM on a labelled dataset specific to a particular task.
- Change in model parameters.

Before we go deeper into fine-tuning there is another way of adapting LLMs for specific task, which is called "In-context" learning.

#### In-context Learning

You may recall **in-context learning** from previous lecture with reference to prompting.

Let's focus on fine-tuning and how it makes our LLM better.

Fine-tuning

 A process of training the LLM on a labelled dataset specific to a particular task.

Change in model parameters.

Fine-tuning is a supervised process that leads to a new model, in contrast with in-context learning, which is considered "sphemeral."

## Outline

- Training Cycle LLM
- Instruction-tuning
  - Full Parameter
  - PEFT
- LoRA
- QLoRA

Fine-tuning very often means instruction fine-tuning.

An instruction dataset, comprising pairs of instructions, answers, and sometimes context, is required for such fine-tuning.

Instruction	Context	Output
Suggest a good restaurant	Los Angeles, CA	In Los Angeles, CA, I suggest Rossoblu Italian Restaurant
Rewrite the sentence with more descriptive words	The game is fun	The game is exhilarating and enjoyable
Calculate the area of the triangle	Base: 5cm; Height: 6cm	The area of the triangle is $15 \ cm^2$

This is an example of what an instruction dataset looks like.

Task-specific fine-tuning:

This particular process involves training the model on a smaller, task-specific dataset.

For e.g.: Summarize this, translate that, etc

This allows the model to learn the nuances, and specialized vocabulary relavant to the task.

For e.g., if you train a model specifically for question answering:

Notice, how it answers requests, starting with 'Sure...'.



For e.g., if you train a model specifically for question answering:

Notice, how it answers requests, starting with 'Sure...'.



This is **opposed** to how language models are trained (next word prediction), according to which the answer should just included the haiku directly.

We have to be careful while doing task-specific finetuning to avoid catastrophic forgetting.

Catastrophic forgetting refers to the phenomenon where a model loses its ability to perform previously learned tasks when it is being fine-tuned on new tasks.

The key idea of catastrophic forgetting is that as the model learns new tasks, it may overwrite what it previously learned, leading to a loss in performance on earlier tasks. To mitigate the problem of catastrophic forgetting, we need to do multi-task finetuning.

This requires a lot of data, and training resources.

- We need to update all the parameters while finetuning.
  - For a 7B model, we need to update 7 billion weights. For a 13 billion model, we need to update 13 billion weights.
- Storing and updating these weights require a lot of GPU memory.

Fun Fact: Did you know, training GPT-4 involved ~25,000 A100 GPUs over ~90-100 days, costing OpenAI nearly \$100 million!

Fun Fact: Did you know, training GPT-4 involved ~25,000 A100 GPUs over ~90-100 days, costing OpenAl nearly \$100 million!



Let's take a fine-tuning example now.

Say we want to finetune a 10 billion parameter model. Let's see how that looks in memory.

Assuming, we're working with FP16 (half precision), which takes approximately 2 bytes per parameter.

Assuming, we're working with FP16 (half precision), which takes approximately 2 bytes per parameter.



Assuming, we're working with FP16 (half precision), which takes approximately 2 bytes per parameter.



This makes full parameter finetuning inaccessible to normal folks like us.



## Outline

- Training Cycle LLM
- Instruction-tuning
  - Full Parameter
  - PEFT
- LoRA
- QLoRA

PEFT stands for Parameter Efficient Finetuning.

Unlike full parameter finetuning, PEFT preserves the vast majority of the model's original weights.

There are majorly three methods to do PEFT.

- 1. Additive
- 2. Selective
- 3. Reparameterization

## Instruction-tuning (PEFT)



## Instruction-tuning (PEFT)

There are a lot of techniques. We're interested in LoRA, which is one of the most popular.



(arxiv.org)

## Outline

- Training Cycle LLM
- Instruction-tuning
  - Full Parameter
  - PEFT
- LoRA
- QLoRA

LoRA revolves around the idea that any matrix  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  can be decomposed into W = BA where  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$  and  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}$ 



LoRA revolves around the idea that any matrix  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  can be decomposed into W = BA where  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$  and  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}$ 



We can even increase the rank to get better performance.



Now, we use the same concept of matrix decomposition while finetuning an LLM.



Remember, we are decomposing the update matix  $(\Delta W)$ , and not the original weights  $W_0$ .

$$W_0 + \Delta W = W_0 + \frac{\alpha}{r} BA$$

## We initialize B using a zero matrix, and A using a normal distribution.

Now, let's look at this diagrammatically.



## LoRA - Working



Notice how the reparameterization (LoRA) runs parallel to the original model.
# LoRA - Working



Notice how the reparameterization (LoRA) runs parallel to the original model.

# LoRA - Working



Notice how the reparameterization (LoRA) runs parallel to the original model.

Let's explore the scale at which LoRA can help reduce the number of parameters needed to achieve comparable performance!

Rank	Model 7B	Model 13B	Model 70B	Model 180B
1	167K	228K	529K	849K

Rank	Model 7B	Model 13B	Model 70B	Model 180B
1	167K	228K	529K	849K
2	334K	456K	1M	2M

Rank	Model 7B	Model 13B	Model 70B	Model 180B
1	167K	228K	529K	849K
2	334K	456K	1M	2M
8	1M	2M	4M	7M

Rank	Model 7B	Model 13B	Model 70B	Model 180B
1	167K	228K 529K		849K
2	334K	456K 1M		2M
8	1M	2M	4M	7M
16	3M	4M	8M	14M
512	86M	117M	270M	434M
1024	171M	233M	542M	869M
8192	1.4B	1.8B 4.3B		7B
Full	7B	13B	70B	180B



This is a generalization considering an LLM of one layer. LLMs are made up of multiple layers.

Compared to full parameter finetuning, LoRA has the following advantages:

- 1. Much faster
- 2. Finetuning can be achieved using less GPU memory
- 3. Cost efficient
- 4. Less prone to "catastrophic forgetting" since the original model weights are kept the same.

### Full Parameter Fine Tuning



 $10B \rightarrow 160GB$ 

### Full Parameter Fine Tuning





 $10B \rightarrow 160GB$ 



 $10B \rightarrow 160GB$ 

 $10B \rightarrow {\sim}40GB$ 



# As we can see below, LoRA's performance is comparative to full parameter fine-tuning and, in some cases, even outperforms it.

Model & Method	# Trainable	inable E2E NLG Challenge				
	Parameters	BLEU	NIST	MET	ROUGE-L	CIDEr
GPT-2 M (FT)*	354.92M	68.2	8.62	46.2	71.0	2.47
GPT-2 M (Adapter <sup>L</sup> )*	0.37M	66.3	8.41	45.0	69.8	2.40
GPT-2 M (Adapter <sup>L</sup> )*	11.09M	68.9	8.71	46.1	71.3	2.47
GPT-2 M (Adapter <sup>H</sup> )	11.09M	$67.3_{\pm.6}$	$8.50_{\pm.07}$	$46.0_{\pm.2}$	$70.7_{\pm.2}$	$2.44_{\pm.01}$
GPT-2 M (FT <sup>Top2</sup> )*	25.19M	68.1	8.59	46.0	70.8	2.41
GPT-2 M (PreLayer)*	0.35M	69.7	8.81	46.1	71.4	2.49
GPT-2 M (LoRA)	0.35M	70.4 $_{\pm.1}$	$8.85_{\pm.02}$	$\textbf{46.8}_{\pm.2}$	$71.8_{\pm.1}$	$2.53_{\pm.02}$
GPT-2 L (FT)*	774.03M	68.5	8.78	46.0	69.9	2.45
GPT-2 L (Adapter <sup>L</sup> )	0.88M	$69.1_{\pm.1}$	$8.68_{\pm.03}$	$46.3_{\pm.0}$	$71.4_{\pm .2}$	$2.49_{\pm.0}$
GPT-2 L (Adapter <sup>L</sup> )	23.00M	$68.9_{\pm.3}$	$8.70_{\pm.04}$	$46.1_{\pm.1}$	$71.3_{\pm.2}$	$2.45_{\pm.02}$
GPT-2 L (PreLayer)*	0.77M	70.3	8.85	46.2	71.7	2.47
GPT-2 L (LoRA)	0.77M	$70.4_{\pm.1}$	$8.89_{\pm.02}$	$46.8_{\pm.2}$	$72.0_{\pm.2}$	$2.47_{\pm.02}$

These metrics are used for performance evaluation.

Table 3: GPT-2 medium (M) and large (L) with different adaptation methods on the E2E NLG Challenge. For all metrics, higher is better. LoRA outperforms several baselines with comparable or fewer trainable parameters. Confidence intervals are shown for experiments we ran. \* indicates numbers published in prior works.

- LoRA reduces the trainable parameters and memory requirements while maintaining good performance.
- LoRA adds pairs of rank decomposition weight matrices (called update matrices) to each layer of the LLM.
- Only the update matrices, which have significantly fewer parameters than the original model weights, are trained.

# Outline

- Training Cycle LLM
- Instruction-tuning
  - Full Parameter
  - PEFT
- LoRA
- QLoRA



- QLoRA is the extended version of LoRA which works mainly by quantizing the precision of the network parameters.
- Before we dive into what QLoRA is, let's look at what quantization is.

### Think of quantization as 'splitting range into buckets'.

## Think of quantization as 'splitting range into buckets'.





Let's look at an example!

Let  $X^{FP32}$  be an array of values.

Here, FP32 refers to a 32bit floating-point number.



What if we want to quantize from FP32 to Int8?

So, to quantize  $X^{FP32}$  to  $X^{Int8}$ :





So, to quantize  $X^{FP32}$  to  $X^{Int8}$ :

$$X^{Int8} = round \left(\frac{127}{absmax(X^{FP32})}X^{FP32}\right)$$
$$\downarrow$$
$$X^{Int8} = round(c^{FP32}X^{FP32})$$



So, to quantize  $X^{FP32}$  to  $X^{Int8}$ :

$$X^{Int8} = round\left(\frac{127}{absmax(X^{FP32})}X^{FP32}\right)$$
$$\downarrow$$
$$X^{Int8} = round(c^{FP32}X^{FP32})$$

$$X^{Int8} = round(c^{FP32}X^{FP32})$$

#### In our example,

$$X^{FP32} \longrightarrow$$
 1.5 2.3 3.7 4.1 5.6 6.8 7.9 8.4 9.2 10.2

$$c^{FP32} = \frac{127}{absmax(X^{FP32})} = \frac{127}{10.2} = 12.4509$$

# Now, we combine the formula and the values that we have

$$X^{Int8} = round(12.4509 \text{ x} 1.5 2.3 3.7 4.1 5.6 6.8 7.9 8.4 9.2 10.2)$$

$$X^{Int8} =$$
 18 29 46 51 69 85 98 105 115 127

Voila! That's how we quantize from FP32 to Int8 using the formula:

$$X^{Int8} = round(c^{FP32}X^{FP32})$$

$$X^{Int8} = round(c^{FP32}X^{FP32})$$

What if we want to dequantize and get back the original array,  $X^{FP32}$ ?

To dequantize:



Now that we know what quantization is, let's look at how QLoRA works!

# QLoRA - The Pizza

Imagine QLoRA to be a mouthwatering pizza.



Now, to make a pizza, we need to gather a few key ingredients!

There are 3 key ingredients which helps us make QLoRA:



4-Bit NormalFloat

**Double Quantization** 

Paged Optimizer

# QLoRA - Ingredient 1:4-Bit NormalFloat

4-bit NormalFloat 4-bit NormalFloat is a clever way to split the buckets.

4-bit means we have  $2^4 = 16$  possible buckets for quantization.

> This is an enhanced version of quantile quantization.





# QLoRA - Ingredient 1:4-Bit NormalFloat

Why use 4-bit NormalFloat Designed for efficient storage and computation in machine learning.

Most datasets in machine learning are normally distributed and precision around the mean is valuable.





There are 3 key ingredients which helps us make QLoRA:



4-Bit NormalFloat

**Double Quantization** 

Paged Optimizer





### Which is not an issue, as it's just 1 constant. Right?

Now, if we think about this in terms of neural networks....



Now, if we think about this in terms of neural networks....

Let's take a 5x5 matrix to be the weights in a neural network:

_	-		
-0.3	0.0	-0.4	0.3
0.2	0.7	1.7	-0.9
-1.5	-0.1	0.8	0.5
-1.7	-0.9	-0.3	0.7
0.1	-1.4	2.2	-1.1
	-0.3 0.2 -1.5 -1.7 0.1	-0.30.00.20.7-1.5-0.1-1.7-0.90.1-1.4	-0.30.0-0.40.20.71.7-1.5-0.10.8-1.7-0.9-0.30.1-1.42.2

#### Weight Tensor



### Now, if we think about this in terms of neural networks....

Weight Tensor

**Rescaled Weight Tensor** 



# QLoRA - Ingredient 2: Double Quantization



Now, if we think about this in terms of neural networks....

Weight Tensor

**Rescaled Weight Tensor** 





### Now, if we think about this in terms of neural networks....



80

# QLoRA - Ingredient 2: Double Quantization

Let's see how the weight tensors look like on the graph.



This is unbounded and could take up any maximum value (an outlier!).

$$W^{Int8} = round(\frac{127}{absmax(W^{FP32})}W^{FP32})$$

# QLoRA - Ingredient 2: Double Quantization

Let's see how the weight tensors look like on the graph.




Let's see how the weight tensors look like on the graph.

#### So, how do we avoid this problem?





The answer to that is: Block-wise Quantization, which is the first step in Double Quantization!

Let's look at an example to understand this concept.

We take the weight tensor that we saw in the previous slides.

-0.7	-0.3	0.0	-0.4	0.3
-1.0	0.2	0.7	1.7	-0.9
-0.1	-1.5	-0.1	0.8	0.5
1.2	-1.7	-0.9	-0.3	0.7
0.4	0.1	-1.4	2.2	-1.1

Weight Tensor (W<sup>FP32</sup>)



We flatten the matrix as follows:

Now we divide it up into different blocks.

We calculate the quantization constants for each block.



If there are any outliers in a block, they won't affect the quantisation in the other blocks.





Rescaled Weight Tensor (W<sup>Int8</sup>)



We now have a new array:

$$c_1^{FP32} \longrightarrow c_i \quad c_j \quad c_k \quad c_l \quad c_m$$

c<sub>1</sub><sup>FP32</sup> is an array of
all the constants
from each block of
the Weight Tensor.

Now, we repeat the same process of quantization for the quantization constants.

$$c_{1}^{Int8} = round(\frac{127}{absmax(c_{1}^{FP32})}c_{1}^{FP32})$$

$$C_{1}^{Int8} = round(c_{2}^{FP32}c_{1}^{FP32})$$
Double Quantization



$$c_1^{Int8} = round(c_2^{FP32}c_1^{FP32})$$

# Let's see the difference in memory usage before and after Double Quantization.

## Before

All we had was a weight matrix containing FP32 values.

In our example, we had a 5x5 matrix.

Each value was 4 bytes in size.

So, the total memory used 25x4=100 bytes was:

Next, let's look at the memory usage **after** Double Quantization.

-0.7	-0.3	0.0	-0.4	0.3
-1.0	0.2	0.7	1.7	-0.9
-0.1	-1.5	-0.1	0.8	0.5
1.2	-1.7	-0.9	-0.3	0.7
0.4	0.1	-1.4	2.2	-1.1







#### Before 25x4=100 bytes

### After

-127	-54	0	-73	54
-77	13	51	127	-74
-9	-127	-12	70	40
93	-127	-64	-24	52
22	8	-81	127	-66



Rescaled Weight Tensor (W<sup>Int8</sup>)

25x1=25 bytes.

So, in total:

25 + 5 + 4 = **34 bytes** 



#### After





## Before

-0.7	-0.3	0.0	-0.4	0.3
-1.0	0.2	0.7	1.7	-0.9
-0.1	-1.5	-0.1	0.8	0.5
1.2	-1.7	-0.9	-0.3	0.7
0.4	0.1	-1.4	2.2	-1.1

25 + 5 + 4 = **34 bytes** 

25x4=100 bytes

# That is an approximate 70% reduction in memory usage!!

There are 3 key ingredients which helps us make QLoRA:



4-Bit NormalFloat

**Double Quantization** 

Paged Optimizer



Before we talk about the third ingredient in QLoRA, let's talk about a problem.

A problem which all of us have faced while training a Neural Network

Running Out of Memory!

So, how do we train a modern Neural Networks without taking a hit on the memory?

We use gradient checkpointing.



Imagine this simple neural network



When we do a forward-pass, we calculate the activations for each layer.





However, this takes up precious memory.

Modern-day computers have become very efficient at parallel processing. What they lack is memory.

We don't need to store all the hidden states.



We only store in memory what is needed at the moment.

We keep discarding activations that have already been used to calculate the next dependent hidden state's activation.

So, let's see how it looks!







During backpropagation, we must recompute all the discarded activations.

To manage this, we introduce checkpoints in the middle.





Checkpoints are usually placed at every  $\sqrt{n}$  layer, considering we have a n-layer neural network.

So, now when we re-compute the activations for backward pass, we don't have to start from the beginning!





This allows us to mitigate the OOM (Out of memory) error to some extent, but it doesn't get rid of it!

We still see some memory spikes especially when we pass in long sequences in the batch.

## QLoRA - Ingredient 3





## This is where our third ingredient comes in!

#### We still see some memory spikes especially when we pass in long sequences in the batch.

## QLoRA - Ingredient 3 : Paged Optimizer



Paged Optimizer - Looping in your CPU

Paging is a memory management technique, where RAM is divided into fixed-size blocks called 'pages'

It does automatic page-to-page transfers between CPU and GPU

Avoids the gradient checkpointing memory spikes that occur when processing a mini batch with a long sequence length.







Now that the GPU has space, when a page moved to CPU is required, we move it back to GPU for computation. We saw the 3 key ingredients needed to make QLoRA:



4-Bit NormalFloat

**Double Quantization** 

Paged Optimizer

Let's bring it all together







Before we talk about the 3 ingredients, there is another key difference that we should know.

In QLoRA we use BF16 (BrainFloat16) as compared to BF16 FP16 in LoRA.

This leads to a change in precision which is tailor-made for deep learning tasks.



## QLoRA - Putting it all together





## QLoRA - Putting it all together



Forward Pass

During the forward pass, we first dequantize the W weights from NF4 to BF16 for computation.

We then use the BF16 values of W, A and B to perform the required calculations.

h **BF16** Pretrained **BF16** Weights NF4  $W \varepsilon \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ **BF16**  $\mathbf{A}=N(0,\sigma^2)$ Х

The BF16 values of W is then deleted to save on storage!



Backward Pass

As in LoRA, we keep W weights frozen and allow the gradients to only flow through the adapters.

We then repeat the cycle of forward and backward passes till a minima is reached.



## QLoRA - Putting it all together







#### Putting it mathematically,





$$Y = XW_0 + \frac{\alpha}{r} XBA$$

Let's expand the formula and see how it looks!

$$Y^{BF16} = X^{BF16} double Dequant \left( \begin{pmatrix} c_1^{FP32}, c_2^{k-bit}, W_o^{NF4} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\alpha}{r} X^{BF16} B^{BF16} A^{BF16} \right)$$

where  $doubleDequant(c_1^{FP32}, c_2^{k-bit}, W_o^{NF4}) = dequant(dequant(c_1^{FP32}, c_2^{k-bit}), W_o^{4bit})$ = W<sup>BF16</sup>

#### THANK YOU

