Language Modeling III: Transformers

CSE 5525: Foundations of Speech and Language Processing https://shocheen.github.io/cse-5525-spring-2025/



The Ohio State University

Sachin Kumar (kumar.1145@osu.edu)

Logistics

- Homework 2 is due date in exactly one week.
 - Any thoughts, questions, concerns?

- Final project: have you formed teams already?
 - A project proposal will be due second/third week of February.
 - We will post sample project ideas on the website/teams later this week

Recap

- - Feedforward Neural Language Model
 Need to make unreasonable assumptions and lose information from the long context
- Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) •
 - Infinitely long context in theory --- hard to train (exploding/vanishing gradients), difficult to parallelize, and could be infeasible (memorize a variable length sequence in a fixed length vector). Encoder-decoder architecture
 - 0
- RNN + Attention
 - Solves the last issue, still hard to train efficiently (on GPUs).
- Attention is all you need [will continue today]
 Transformer Architecture

Transformers

• Replace the linear part of RNNs with **self-attention**

 Introduce residual connections + layernorm to improve gradient flow (avoid gradient vanishing issues)

• Introduce **positional embeddings** to encode sequential order

Outline



Transformer Encoder

Transformer Decoder



Outline

Self-Attention

Transformer Encoder

Transformer Decoder



Defining Self-Attention

- Terminology:
 - **Query:** to match others
 - Key: to be matched
 - Value: information to be extracted
- Definition: Given a set of vector values, and a vector query, attention is a technique to compute a weighted sum of the value, dependent on the query.

Step 1: Our Self-Attention Head I has just 3 weight matrices W_q , W_k , W_v in total. These same 3 weight matrices are multiplied by each x_i to create all vectors:

 $\mathbf{q}_{i} = \mathbf{w}_{q} \mathbf{x}_{i}$ $\mathbf{k}_{i} = \mathbf{w}_{k} \mathbf{x}_{i}$ $\mathbf{v}_{i} = \mathbf{w}_{v} \mathbf{x}_{i}$



Under the hood, each x_i has 3 small, associated vectors. For example, x_1 has:

- Query **q**₁
- Key **k**₁
- Value **v**₁

Step 2: For word x_2 , let's calculate the scores s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , s_4 , which represent how much attention to pay to each respective "word" v_i



Step 2: For word x_2 , let's calculate the scores s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , s_4 , which represent how much attention to pay to each respective "word" v_i

 $s_2 = q_2 \cdot k_2 = 124$ $s_1 = q_2 \cdot k_1 = 92$ k₂ V₃ V₁ V₂ k₂ k₁ k, V_A **q**₂ brown dog The ran **X**₂ X₂ X₄ **X**₁

Step 2: For word x_2 , let's calculate the scores s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , s_4 , which represent how much attention to pay to each respective "word" v_i

 $s_3 = q_2 \cdot k_3 = 22$

 $s_2 = q_2 \cdot k_2 = 124$

 $s_1 = q_2 \cdot k_1 = 92$



Step 2: For word x_2 , let's calculate the scores s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , s_4 , which represent how much attention to pay to each respective "word" v_i

 $s_4 = q_2 \cdot k_4 = 8$

- $s_3 = q_2 \cdot k_3 = 22$
- $s_2 = q_2 \cdot k_2 = 124$
- $s_1 = q_2 \cdot k_1 = 92$



Step 3: Our scores s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 don't sum to 1. Let's divide by $\sqrt{len(k_i)}$ and softmax it



Step 3: Our scores s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 don't sum to 1. Let's divide by $\sqrt{len(k_i)}$ and softmax it

 $s_4 = q_2 \cdot k_4 = 8$

 $s_3 = q_2 \cdot k_3 = 22$

 $s_2 = q_2 \cdot k_2 = 124$

 $a_4 = \sigma(s_4/8) = 0$ $a_3 = \sigma(s_3/8) = .01$

 $a_2 = \sigma(s_2/8) = .91$

 $s_1 = q_2 \cdot k_1 = 92$



Instead of these a_i values directly weighting our original x_i word vectors, they directly weight our v_i vectors.



Step 4: Let's weight our v_i vectors and simply sum them up!





Tada! Now we have great, new representations **z**_i via a self-attention head





$$\boldsymbol{b} = \operatorname{softmax}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{K}^{\mathrm{T}}}{\alpha}\right)\boldsymbol{V}$$



The most important formula in deep learning after 2018

Self-Attention

What is self-attention? Self-attention calculates a weighted average of feature representations with the weight proportional to a similarity score between pairs of representations. Formally, an input sequence of n tokens of dimensions d, $X \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times d}$, is projected using three matrices $W_Q \in \mathbf{R}^{d \times d_q}$, $W_K \in \mathbf{R}^{d \times d_k}$, and $W_V \in \mathbf{R}^{d \times d_v}$ to extract feature representations Q, K, and V, referred to as query, key, and value respectively with $d_k = d_q$. The outputs Q, K, V are computed as

$$Q = XW_Q, \quad K = XW_K, \quad V = XW_V. \tag{1}$$

So, self-attention can be written as,

$$S = D(Q, K, V) = \operatorname{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_q}}\right)V,$$
 (2)

where softmax denotes a *row-wise* softmax normalization function. Thus, each element in S depends on all other elements in the same row.

9:08 PM · Feb 9, 2021 · Twitter Web App

553 Retweets 42 Quote Tweets 3,338 Likes

18

Outline



Transformer Encoder

Transformer Decoder





Outline



Transformer Encoder









Let's further pass each **z**_i through a FeedForward NN

Self-Attention + FFNN



Let's further pass each **z**_i through a Feed Forward NN

Self-Attention + FFNN + Residual Connections



Let's further pass each \mathbf{z}_{i} through a FFNN

We add a residual connection to help ensure relevant info is getting forward passed.

v = z + x

Self-Attention + FFNN + Residual Connections



Let's further pass each \mathbf{z}_i through a FFNN

We add residual connection to help ensure relevant info is getting forward passed.

v = z + x

We perform LayerNorm to stabilize the network and allow for proper gradient flow. You should do this after the FFNN, too.

Stabilizing Gradient Flow: Residual Connection and Layernorm

- Residual connection: y = f(x) + x
 - f might be a complex function and gives small gradients wrt x, adding x back to f(x) gives higher values of the gradient

- Layer Normalization (LayerNorm):
 - Another way to prevent vanishing gradients

$$y = rac{x - \mathrm{E}[x]}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}[x] + \epsilon}} * \gamma + eta$$

arxiv.org/pdf/2409.12951



Let's further pass each \mathbf{z}_i through a FFNN

We concat w/ a residual connection to help ensure relevant info is getting forward passed.

We perform LayerNorm to stabilize the network and allow for proper gradient flow. You should do this after the FFNN, too.

Each **z**_i can be computed in parallel, unlike RNNs!

Transformer Encoder



Yay! Our r_i vectors are our new representations, and this entire process is called a **Transformer Encoder**

Transformer Encoder



Yay! Our r_i vectors are our new representations, and this entire process is called a **Transformer Encoder**

Problem: there is no concept of <u>positionality</u>. Words are weighted as if a "bag of words"

Transformer Encoder



Yay! Our r_i vectors are our new representations, and this entire process is called a **Transformer Encoder**

Problem: there is no concept of <u>positionality</u>. Words are weighted as if a "bag of words"

Solution: add to each input word x_i a positional encoding

Input to the model is now $x_i + pos_i$

How to encode position information?

- Self attention doesn't have a way to know whether an input token comes before or after another
 - Position is important in sequence modeling in NLP
- A way to introduce position information is add individual position encodings to the input for each position in the sequence

$$x_i = x_i + pos_i$$

Where pos_t is a position vector

Properties of a good positional embedding

- It should output a unique encoding for each time-step (word's position in a sentence)
- Distance between any two time-steps should be consistent across sentences with different lengths.
 - The cat sat on the mat
 - The happy cat sat on the mat
- Our model should generalize to longer sentences without any efforts. Its values should be bounded.
- It must be deterministic.

Absolute position embeddings

- Define a maximum context length you model can encode: say 1000 tokens.
 - Create a separate embedding table for each position.
 - Each index 1, 2, 3, ... gets an embedding.
 - Learn the embeddings with the model.
- Issues with Learned positions embeddings:
 - Maximum length that can be presented is limited (what if I get a 2000 token input)
 - Difficult to encode relative positions
 - The cat sat on the mat
 - The happy cat sat on the mat

Functional (and fixed) position embeddings Sinusoidal embeddings

where

 $\left[\begin{array}{c} \sin(\omega_1,t) \\ \cos(\omega_1,t) \end{array}\right]$

$$egin{aligned} \overrightarrow{p_t}^{(i)} &= f(t)^{(i)} \coloneqq egin{cases} \sin(\omega_k,t), & ext{if } i = 2k \ \cos(\omega_k,t), & ext{if } i = 2k+1 \ &ec{p_t} = \ &ec{p_t} = \ &ec{p_t} &ec{\omega_2,t} \ &ec{\omega_2,t}$$

The frequencies are decreasing along the vector dimension. It forms a geometric progression on the wavelengths.

Sinusoidal Embeddings: Intuition

0:	0	0	0	0	8:	1	0	0	0
1:	0	0	0	1	9:	1	0	0	1
2:	0	0	1	0	10 :	1	0	1	0
3:	0	0	1	1	11:	1	0	1	1
4:	0	1	0	0	12:	1	1	0	0
5:	0	1	0	1	13:	1	1	0	1
6 :	0	1	1	0	14:	1	1	1	0
7:	0	1	1	1	15:	1	1	1	1

Transformer Architecture: The Positional Encoding - Amirhossein Kazemnejad's Blog

Position Encodings



https://jalammar.github.io/illustrated-transformer/

Variants of Positional Embeddings

• Rotary Positional Embeddings (RoPE): [2104.09864] RoFormer: Enhanced Transformer with Rotary Position Embedding (arxiv.org)

• AliBi: [2108.12409] Train Short, Test Long: Attention with Linear Biases Enables Input Length Extrapolation (arxiv.org)

• No embeddings(!?): [2203.16634] Transformer Language Models without Positional Encodings Still Learn Positional Information (arxiv.org)
A Self-Attention Head has just one set of query/key/value weight matrices w_q, w_k, w_v

Words can relate in many ways, so it's restrictive to rely on just one Self-Attention Head in the system.

Let's create Multi-headed Self-Attention

Multi-head Attention



Each Self-Attention Head produces a z_i vector using query, key, and value vectors We can, in parallel, use multiple heads and concat the z_i's. For each input create multiple query, key, and value vectors



To recap: all of this looks fancy, but ultimately it's just producing a very good **contextualized embedding** r_i of each word x_i



Variants of multi-head attention attention



GQA: Training Generalized Multi-Query Transformer Models from Multi-Head Checkpoints (Ainslie et al., 2023)

To recap: all of this looks fancy, but ultimately it's just producing a very good **contextualized embedding** r_i of each word x_i





To recap: all of this looks fancy, but ultimately it's just producing a very good **contextualized embedding** r_i of each word x_i

Why stop with just 1 Transformer Encoder? We could stack several!



To recap: all of this looks fancy, but ultimately it's just producing a very good **contextualized embedding** r_i of each word x_i

Why stop with just 1 Transformer Encoder? We could stack several!



The <u>original Transformer</u> model was intended for Machine Translation, so it had **Decoders**, too Outline



Transformer Encoder

Transformer Decoder





The Ohio State University

Outline







Transformer Decoder





Encoder-Decoder Architectures

• Original transformer had two sub-models.



Encoder-Decoder Architectures



https://jalammar.github.io/visualizing-neural-machine-translation-mechanics-of-seq2seq-models-with-attention/

Transformer Decoder







Transformer Encoders produce **contextualized embeddings** of each word

Transformer Decoders generate new sequences of text



NOTE

Transformer Decoders are identical to the Encoders, except they have an additional Attention Head in between the <u>Self-Attention</u> and <u>FFNN</u> layers.

This additional Attention Head focuses on parts of the encoder's representations.



NOTE

The **query** vector for a Transformer Decoder's Attention Head (not Self-Attention Head) is from the output of the <u>previous</u> <u>decoder layer</u>.

However, the **key** and **value** vectors are from the Transformer Encoders' outputs.



NOTE

The query, key, and value vectors for a Transformer Decoder's Self-Attention Head (not Attention Head) are all from the output of the previous decoder layer.



IMPORTANT

The Transformer Decoders have positional embeddings, too, just like the Encoders.

Critically, each position is only allowed to attend to the previous indices. This masked Attention preserves it as being an auto-regressive LM.

Transformer [Vaswani et al. 2017]

- An encoder-decoder architecture built with attention modules.
- 3 forms of attention









https://jalammar.github.io/illustrated-transformer/

Decoding time step: 1 2 3 4 5 6

OUTPUT



https://jalammar.github.io/illustrated-transformer/



Figure 1: The Transformer - model architecture. Atten

Attention is All you Need (2017) https://arxiv.org/pdf/1706.03762.pdf

Loss Function: cross-entropy (predicting translated word)

Training Time: ~4 days on (8) GPUs

Layer Type	Complexity per Layer	Sequential	Maximum Path Length
		Operations	
Self-Attention	$O(n^2 \cdot d)$	O(1)	O(1)
Recurrent	$O(n \cdot d^2)$	O(n)	O(n)
Convolutional	$O(k \cdot n \cdot d^2)$	O(1)	$O(log_k(n))$
Self-Attention (restricted)	$O(r \cdot n \cdot d)$	O(1)	O(n/r)

n = sequence length

d = length of representation (vector)

Q: Is the complexity of self-attention good?

Layer Type	Complexity per Layer	Sequential Operations	Maximum Path Length
Self-Attention	$O(n^2 \cdot d)$	O(1)	O(1)
Recurrent	$O(n \cdot d^2)$	O(n)	O(n)
Convolutional	$O(k \cdot n \cdot d^2)$	O(1)	$O(log_k(n))$
Self-Attention (restricted)	$O(r \cdot n \cdot d)$	O(1)	O(n/r)

Important: when learning dependencies b/w words, you don't want long paths. Shorter is better.

Self-attention connects all positions with a constant # of sequentially executed operations, whereas RNNs require O(n).

Layer Type	Complexity per Layer	Sequential	Maximum Path Length	
		Operations		
Self-Attention	$O(n^2 \cdot d)$	O(1)	O(1)	
Recurrent	$O(n \cdot d^2)$	O(n)	O(n)	
Convolutional	$O(k \cdot n \cdot d^2)$	O(1)	$O(log_k(n))$	
Self-Attention (restricted)	$O(r \cdot n \cdot d)$	O(1)	O(n/r)	

Machine Translation results: state-of-the-art (at the time)

Madal	BLEU		Training Co	Training Cost (FLOPs)		
Niddel	EN-DE	EN-FR	EN-DE	EN-FR		
ByteNet [18]	23.75					
Deep-Att + PosUnk [39]		39.2		$1.0\cdot10^{20}$		
GNMT + RL [38]	24.6	39.92	$2.3\cdot 10^{19}$	$1.4\cdot10^{20}$		
ConvS2S [9]	25.16	40.46	$9.6\cdot10^{18}$	$1.5\cdot10^{20}$		
MoE [32]	26.03	40.56	$2.0\cdot 10^{19}$	$1.2\cdot 10^{20}$		
Deep-Att + PosUnk Ensemble [39]		40.4		$8.0\cdot10^{20}$		
GNMT + RL Ensemble [38]	26.30	41.16	$1.8\cdot 10^{20}$	$1.1\cdot10^{21}$		
ConvS2S Ensemble [9]	26.36	41.29	$7.7\cdot 10^{19}$	$1.2\cdot10^{21}$		
Transformer (base model)	27.3	38.1	$3.3\cdot10^{18}$			
Transformer (big)	28.4	41.8	$2.3\cdot 10^{19}$			

Impact of Transformers

• Let to better predictive models of language ala GPTs!

Model	I	Layers		Heads	Perplexity
LSTMs (Grave et al., 2016)		-	Τ	-	40.8
QRNNs (Merity et al., 2018)		-		-	33.0
Transformer		16		16	19.8

Impact of Transformers

A building block for a variety of LMs



Encoders

- **Examples: BERT**, RoBERTa, SciBERT.
- Captures bidirectional context. How do we pretrain them? *



Decoders

- **Examples:** GPT-2, GPT-3, Llama models, and many many more
- Other name: causal or auto-regressive language model *
- * Nice to generate from; can't condition on future words
- **Examples:** Transformer, T₅, BART *
- What's the best way to pretrain them? **

Transformer LMs + Scale = LLMs

- 2 main dimensions:
- Model size, pretraining data size



Photo credit: https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/blog/using-deepspeed-and-megatron-to-train-megatron-turing-nlg-530b-the-worlds-largest-and-most-powerful-generative-language-model/

Large Language Models

 Not only they improved performance on many NLP tasks, but exhibited new capabilities



Transformers - Summary

• Self-attention + positional embedding + others = NLP go brr

Much faster to train than any previous architectures, much easier to scale

- Perform on par or better than previous RNN based models
 - Ease of scaling allows to extract much better performance

What if we don't want to decode/translate?

• Just want to perform a particular task (e.g., classification)

• Want even more robust, flexible, rich representation!

• Want positionality to play a more explicit role, while not being restricted to a particular form (e.g., CNNs)

Outline





Transformer Decoder





Outline














Like *Bidirectional LSTMs*, let's look in **both** directions





Let's only use Transformer *Encoders*, no Decoders





It's a language model that builds rich representations







BERT has 2 training objectives:

1. Predict the **Masked word** (a la CBOW)

15% of all input words are randomly masked.

- 80% become [MASK]
- 10% become revert back
- 10% become are deliberately corrupted as wrong words





BERT has 2 training objectives:

2. Two sentences are fed in at a time. Predict the if the <u>second sentence</u> of input truly follows the <u>first</u> one or not. BERT



Every two sentences are separated by a <SEP> token.

50% of the time, the 2nd sentence is a randomly selected sentence from the corpus.

50% of the time, it truly follows the first sentence in the corpus.



NOTE: BERT also embeds the inputs by

their WordPiece embeddings.

WordPiece is a sub-word tokenization

learns to merge and use characters based

on which pairs maximize the likelihood of

the training data if added to the vocab.



One could extract the contextualized embeddings



The output of each encoder layer along each token's path can be used as a feature representing that token.



But which one should we use?



Later layers have the best contextualized embeddings

Dev F1 Score 91.0 First Layer Embedding 12 ... Last Hidden Layer 94.9 ... Sum All 12 95.5 Layers = Second-to-Last 95.6 11 Hidden Layer Sum Last Four 95.9 Hidden Help 10 11 12 9 Concat Last 96.1 Four Hidden

Picture: https://jalammar.github.io/illustrated-bert/



BERT yields state-of-the-art (SOTA) results on many tasks

System	MNLI-(m/mm)	QQP	QNLI	SST-2	CoLA	STS-B	MRPC	RTE	Average
	392k	363k	108k	67k	8.5k	5.7k	3.5k	2.5k	-
Pre-OpenAI SOTA	80.6/80.1	66.1	82.3	93.2	35.0	81.0	86.0	61.7	74.0
BiLSTM+ELMo+Attn	76.4/76.1	64.8	79.8	90.4	36.0	73.3	84.9	56.8	71.0
OpenAI GPT	82.1/81.4	70.3	87.4	91.3	45.4	80.0	82.3	56.0	75.1
BERTBASE	84.6/83.4	71.2	90.5	93.5	52.1	85.8	88.9	66.4	79.6
BERTLARGE	86.7/85.9	72.1	92.7	94.9	60.5	86.5	89.3	70.1	82.1

Table 1: GLUE Test results, scored by the evaluation server (https://gluebenchmark.com/leaderboard).

